

UNKISSED HUBBIES IN DIVORCE COURT

Experiment in New Style
Matrimony a Failure.

Los Angeles, Calif.—Flaming youth's latest innovation, the "kisses," in matrimonial marriages, has been tried in Los Angeles.

And did it work? Well, perhaps the two young men selected for the experiment were not suitable enough. They appeared in local courts and asked to be released from their college girl wives and their new style of matrimony. Both were granted bail on the grounds that they had been defrauded.

The two girls, who had attempted to revolutionize marriage and substitute an ideal platonic relationship as all carcases, did not appear in court to witness the failure of their experiment.

"It was a great little plan, but that was all," said A. A. Anderson, one of the young husbands.

When he appeared in Judge Leonard Wilson's court he told how he had lived with his wife for two years and had never received a kiss or a caress.

"She told me that she loved me, but she just would not kiss me," explained "Kissie," she said, "were repulsive to her."

Almost simultaneously Richard W. Watson, a young banker, was relating a similar story in the court of Judge Elliott Craig.

"I met her at a University of Southern California sorority dance," Watson said. "She was the prettiest girl there. She seemed to care for me. We were married in two days."

"Then I discovered her ideas about marriage. She refused to kiss me. Kissings was degrading to women," it explains the subjection of the female to the male, she said. "I lived with her for half a year and could not change her viewpoint."

When he appeared in Judge Leonard Wilson's court he told how he had lived with his wife for two years and had never received a kiss or a caress.

"She told me that she loved me, but she just would not kiss me," explained "Kissie," she said, "were repulsive to her."

Almost simultaneously Richard W. Watson, a young banker, was relating a similar story in the court of Judge Elliott Craig.

"I met her at a University of Southern California sorority dance," Watson said. "She was the prettiest girl there. She seemed to care for me. We were married in two days."

"Then I discovered her ideas about marriage. She refused to kiss me. Kissings was degrading to women," it explains the subjection of the female to the male, she said. "I lived with her for half a year and could not change her viewpoint."

When he appeared in Judge Leonard Wilson's court he told how he had lived with his wife for two years and had never received a kiss or a caress.

"She told me that she loved me, but she just would not kiss me," explained "Kissie," she said, "were repulsive to her."

Almost simultaneously Richard W. Watson, a young banker, was relating a similar story in the court of Judge Elliott Craig.

"I met her at a University of Southern California sorority dance," Watson said. "She was the prettiest girl there. She seemed to care for me. We were married in two days."

"Then I discovered her ideas about marriage. She refused to kiss me. Kissings was degrading to women," it explains the subjection of the female to the male, she said. "I lived with her for half a year and could not change her viewpoint."

When he appeared in Judge Leonard Wilson's court he told how he had lived with his wife for two years and had never received a kiss or a caress.

"She told me that she loved me, but she just would not kiss me," explained "Kissie," she said, "were repulsive to her."

Almost simultaneously Richard W. Watson, a young banker, was relating a similar story in the court of Judge Elliott Craig.

"I met her at a University of Southern California sorority dance," Watson said. "She was the prettiest girl there. She seemed to care for me. We were married in two days."

"Then I discovered her ideas about marriage. She refused to kiss me. Kissings was degrading to women," it explains the subjection of the female to the male, she said. "I lived with her for half a year and could not change her viewpoint."

When he appeared in Judge Leonard Wilson's court he told how he had lived with his wife for two years and had never received a kiss or a caress.

"She told me that she loved me, but she just would not kiss me," explained "Kissie," she said, "were repulsive to her."

Almost simultaneously Richard W. Watson, a young banker, was relating a similar story in the court of Judge Elliott Craig.

"I met her at a University of Southern California sorority dance," Watson said. "She was the prettiest girl there. She seemed to care for me. We were married in two days."

"Then I discovered her ideas about marriage. She refused to kiss me. Kissings was degrading to women," it explains the subjection of the female to the male, she said. "I lived with her for half a year and could not change her viewpoint."

When he appeared in Judge Leonard Wilson's court he told how he had lived with his wife for two years and had never received a kiss or a caress.

"She told me that she loved me, but she just would not kiss me," explained "Kissie," she said, "were repulsive to her."

Almost simultaneously Richard W. Watson, a young banker, was relating a similar story in the court of Judge Elliott Craig.

"I met her at a University of Southern California sorority dance," Watson said. "She was the prettiest girl there. She seemed to care for me. We were married in two days."

"Then I discovered her ideas about marriage. She refused to kiss me. Kissings was degrading to women," it explains the subjection of the female to the male, she said. "I lived with her for half a year and could not change her viewpoint."

When he appeared in Judge Leonard Wilson's court he told how he had lived with his wife for two years and had never received a kiss or a caress.

"She told me that she loved me, but she just would not kiss me," explained "Kissie," she said, "were repulsive to her."

Almost simultaneously Richard W. Watson, a young banker, was relating a similar story in the court of Judge Elliott Craig.

"I met her at a University of Southern California sorority dance," Watson said. "She was the prettiest girl there. She seemed to care for me. We were married in two days."

"Then I discovered her ideas about marriage. She refused to kiss me. Kissings was degrading to women," it explains the subjection of the female to the male, she said. "I lived with her for half a year and could not change her viewpoint."

When he appeared in Judge Leonard Wilson's court he told how he had lived with his wife for two years and had never received a kiss or a caress.

"She told me that she loved me, but she just would not kiss me," explained "Kissie," she said, "were repulsive to her."

Almost simultaneously Richard W. Watson, a young banker, was relating a similar story in the court of Judge Elliott Craig.

"I met her at a University of Southern California sorority dance," Watson said. "She was the prettiest girl there. She seemed to care for me. We were married in two days."

"Then I discovered her ideas about marriage. She refused to kiss me. Kissings was degrading to women," it explains the subjection of the female to the male, she said. "I lived with her for half a year and could not change her viewpoint."

When he appeared in Judge Leonard Wilson's court he told how he had lived with his wife for two years and had never received a kiss or a caress.

"She told me that she loved me, but she just would not kiss me," explained "Kissie," she said, "were repulsive to her."

Almost simultaneously Richard W. Watson, a young banker, was relating a similar story in the court of Judge Elliott Craig.

"I met her at a University of Southern California sorority dance," Watson said. "She was the prettiest girl there. She seemed to care for me. We were married in two days."

"Then I discovered her ideas about marriage. She refused to kiss me. Kissings was degrading to women," it explains the subjection of the female to the male, she said. "I lived with her for half a year and could not change her viewpoint."

THE FARMER'S NEEDS


In the matter of banking are just as extensive and important as those of any other business man, for farming is the biggest business in the country.

The Peoples National Bank makes a specialty of meeting the banking requirements of the farmers of this district, and its service is friendly, intelligent and complete.


Peoples' National Bank

Lynchburg Virginia

Your Real Estate Deals and Sales are Safe when directed by A. H. Clement, Appomattox, Va.



The Imperial Sedan



The Sport Coupe

Announcing Two New Models of the CHEVROLET SIX

Broadening the appeal of a line of cars which has already won over 950,000 buyers since January 1st, Chevrolet presents two distinguished new enclosed models of the Chevrolet Six—the Imperial Sedan and the Sport Coupe. With beautiful new bodies by Fisher available in a variety of striking color combinations and with numerous advanced convenience features—these new models provide, in abundant measure, those elements of distinction ordinarily associated with more expensive automobiles.

When you examine the new Imperial Sedan and Sport Coupe,

you will be impressed by their individuality and completeness. But you cannot fully realize what an achievement they represent until you get behind the wheel and drive! For here are all the qualities of six-cylinder smoothness, power and acceleration which Chevrolet alone provides in the price range of the four—combined with economy of better than twenty miles to the gallon of gasoline!

Come in today. Learn for yourself the new standards of quality and luxury that Chevrolet has made available in six-cylinder cars—at prices within the reach of all

The Roadster, \$525; The Phaeton, \$525; The Coupe, \$595; The Coach, \$595; The Sport Coupe, \$645; The Sedan, \$675; The Imperial Sedan, \$695; The Sedan Delivery, \$595; The Light Delivery Chassis, \$400; The 1½ Ton Chassis, \$545; The 1½ Ton Chassis with Cab, \$650. All prices f.o.b. factory, Flint, Mich.

COMPARE the delivered price as well as the list price in considering automobile values. Chevrolet's delivered prices include only reasonable charges for delivery and financing.

Moses Motor Company

Appomattox, Virginia

A SIX IN THE PRICE RANGE OF THE FOUR



Unbroken Vows

When in my arms I used to hold you,
Don't you remember, Love, I told you—
And swore it by the stars above you,
That I'd more dearly, truly love you
With every passing year—
Don't you remember, Dear?

Though bright or stormy be the weather,
That marked the days we spent together—
I said with you I'd gladly share it,
Whatever the road, I'd gladly fare it,
If only you were near.
Don't you remember, Dear?

I said that Time could never chill me—
Your kisses sweet would always thrill me.
In much the same old happy fashion,
Though gone, perchance, my fevered passion—
My heart would never cease!
Don't you remember, Dear?

Well, Time has passed—and Youth's be-
hind us—
But still in love, the world may find us.
And now as in my arms I press you,
And I with upward hands caress you,
I'm sure that now you know
That all I said—was so!

Let Us Do Your Next Job Work. . . . TIMES-VIRGINIAN

A
New
Complete
Stock
Of
Building
Material
In
Appomattox
Ned A. Wagers
"We Never Misrepresent"




Increase Your Balance HAVE MONEY!

There is just one way to increase your bank balance. This one way is to put IN our bank more money than you take OUT.

DO IT.

START SAVING REGULARLY NOW

We invite YOUR Banking Business



THE VIRGINIAN HOTEL

Lynchburg, Virginia
Modern Excellent Dining Room Fireproof
New Coffee Shop New Tea Room
Good Food—Good Service
AT
REASONABLE PRICES

RYLAND'S

HEADQUARTERS for Diamonds, Watches, Silverware,
Glassware, Leather Goods, Costume Jewelry, etc.

D. B. RYLAND & CO.,
809 Main Street Lynchburg, Va.

Farms For Sale

Farms of small and large acreage on easy terms and at prices which will never be lower. Home seekers have a wonderful chance to secure farms now that will never come again. See

A. H. CLEMENT
Appomattox, Va.

Stop At



"In the Heart of Everything"

TIMES-VIRGINIAN

Established 1892

In the Heart of the Dark
Tobacco Belt

\$1.50 per annum in advance

Notify at once of failure to re-
ceive paper promptly and regularly.
Entered as the Post-Office at Ap-
pomattox, Va., as second-class mat-
ter under act of Congress.

Advertising Rates: Reading no-
tice 10 line of five words for each
insertion.
Classified advertisements 10 per
word each insertion.
Display Advertisements — 50c
per inch first insertion and 25c per
inch for each subsequent insertion.
Legal Advertisements — 75c per
line each insertion for four weeks.

A. H. CLEMENT, Owner.

THURSDAY, AUG. 8, 1929

Shell Tobacco Growers

Organize

Last Tuesday afternoon the
movement for organizing the
dark tobacco growers was
started in the Court room here
when a committee met and dis-
cussed the situation with refer-
ence to the willingness on the
part of the growers to organ-
ize and pool this year's crop.

After much deliberation Mr.
Clement was requested to write
M. L. Corey for a plan and ask
him to cooperate in an effort
to have a delegated meeting
in Lynchburg or Farmville
soon to further sound the views
of the growers.

The committee was practi-
cally unanimous in saying that
the time is right for organizing
but expressed the opinion that
those who failed to join the as-
sociation before should be the
first to come in now. No word
from Mr. Corey up to this time
has been received here, and al-
though the desire to get started
as a co-operative marketing as-
sociation is pronounced, some
real work is needed to get the
farmers together on a plan ac-
ceptable to the whole.

The plan should be in the
home of every tobacco grower
and time should be given for
him to digest it and thoroughly
understand its provisions—all
growers should mutually agree
to stand together as one man.
The marketing of the crop, if
the farmers will do this a great
business organization will be
put forward in the interest of
the growers aided by the Fed-
eral Government in every prac-
tical and feasible way. He
at that time because of increas-
ing prices that would result in the agri-
cultural time rates.

The Interstate Commerce
Commission in Docket 1929-29
were put on a new basis by the
Interstate Commerce Commis-
sion, and in September, 1928,
the carrier applied to the
State Corporation Commission
for authority to put the new
scale in effect in Virginia. The
State Corporation Commission
denied the carrier's application
at that time because of increas-
ing prices that would result in the agri-
cultural time rates.

The Interstate Commerce
Commission in Docket 1929-29
were put on a new basis by the
Interstate Commerce Commis-
sion, and in September, 1928,
the carrier applied to the
State Corporation Commission
for authority to put the new
scale in effect in Virginia. The
State Corporation Commission
denied the carrier's application
at that time because of increas-
ing prices that would result in the agri-
cultural time rates.

The Interstate Commerce
Commission in Docket 1929-29
were put on a new basis by the
Interstate Commerce Commis-
sion, and in September, 1928,
the carrier applied to the
State Corporation Commission
for authority to put the new
scale in effect in Virginia. The
State Corporation Commission
denied the carrier's application
at that time because of increas-
ing prices that would result in the agri-
cultural time rates.

The Interstate Commerce
Commission in Docket 1929-29
were put on a new basis by the
Interstate Commerce Commis-
sion, and in September, 1928,
the carrier applied to the
State Corporation Commission
for authority to put the new
scale in effect in Virginia. The
State Corporation Commission
denied the carrier's application
at that time because of increas-
ing prices that would result in the agri-
cultural time rates.

The Interstate Commerce
Commission in Docket 1929-29
were put on a new basis by the
Interstate Commerce Commis-
sion, and in September, 1928,
the carrier applied to the
State Corporation Commission
for authority to put the new
scale in effect in Virginia. The
State Corporation Commission
denied the carrier's application
at that time because of increas-
ing prices that would result in the agri-
cultural time rates.

The Interstate Commerce
Commission in Docket 1929-29
were put on a new basis by the
Interstate Commerce Commis-
sion, and in September, 1928,
the carrier applied to the
State Corporation Commission
for authority to put the new
scale in effect in Virginia. The
State Corporation Commission
denied the carrier's application
at that time because of increas-
ing prices that would result in the agri-
cultural time rates.

The Interstate Commerce
Commission in Docket 1929-29
were put on a new basis by the
Interstate Commerce Commis-
sion, and in September, 1928,
the carrier applied to the
State Corporation Commission
for authority to put the new
scale in effect in Virginia. The
State Corporation Commission
denied the carrier's application
at that time because of increas-
ing prices that would result in the agri-
cultural time rates.

The Interstate Commerce
Commission in Docket 1929-29
were put on a new basis by the
Interstate Commerce Commis-
sion, and in September, 1928,
the carrier applied to the
State Corporation Commission
for authority to put the new
scale in effect in Virginia. The
State Corporation Commission
denied the carrier's application
at that time because of increas-
ing prices that would result in the agri-
cultural time rates.

The Interstate Commerce
Commission in Docket 1929-29
were put on a new basis by the
Interstate Commerce Commis-
sion, and in September, 1928,
the carrier applied to the
State Corporation Commission
for authority to put the new
scale in effect in Virginia. The
State Corporation Commission
denied the carrier's application
at that time because of increas-
ing prices that would result in the agri-
cultural time rates.

The Interstate Commerce
Commission in Docket 1929-29
were put on a new basis by the
Interstate Commerce Commis-
sion, and in September, 1928,
the carrier applied to the
State Corporation Commission
for authority to put the new
scale in effect in Virginia. The
State Corporation Commission
denied the carrier's application
at that time because of increas-
ing prices that would result in the agri-
cultural time rates.

The Interstate Commerce
Commission in Docket 1929-29
were put on a new basis by the
Interstate Commerce Commis-
sion, and in September, 1928,
the carrier applied to the
State Corporation Commission
for authority to put the new
scale in effect in Virginia. The
State Corporation Commission
denied the carrier's application
at that time because of increas-
ing prices that would result in the agri-
cultural time rates.

The Interstate Commerce
Commission in Docket 1929-29
were put on a new basis by the
Interstate Commerce Commis-
sion, and in September, 1928,
the carrier applied to the
State Corporation Commission
for authority to put the new
scale in effect in Virginia. The
State Corporation Commission
denied the carrier's application
at that time because of increas-
ing prices that would result in the agri-
cultural time rates.

The Interstate Commerce
Commission in Docket 1929-29
were put on a new basis by the
Interstate Commerce Commis-
sion, and in September, 1928,
the carrier applied to the
State Corporation Commission
for authority to put the new
scale in effect in Virginia. The
State Corporation Commission
denied the carrier's application
at that time because of increas-
ing prices that would result in the agri-
cultural time rates.

the heart of the finest dark-fir-
ed belt of the world should
move out for organization and
prosperity. Will you do your
part and help your brother
farmer to do his part? Write
about it, talk about it. Let's go.

Line Rates Rehearing

The State Corporation Com-
mission has received notice
from the Interstate Commerce
Commission that its efforts to
secure a reopening of the lime-
stone case, ICC Docket 1924-3,
Sub No. 1, have been successful
and a rehearing will be had in
the office of the Interstate Com-
merce Commission at Washing-
ton on September 10, 1929, be-
fore Attorney-Examiner Hos-
mer.

The complaint in Docket
1924-3, Sub No. 1, was filed
with the Interstate Commerce
Commission on July 25, 1927,
by the North American Cement
Corporation, attacking the rate
on all kind of lime, and ground
limestone, from Berkeley and
Martinsburg, W. Va., as being
high, and also the rates in Vir-
ginia were so low that they
could not ship from Berkeley
and Martinsburg into Virginia
on account of such low Vir-
ginia rates.

The limestone case had before
the Interstate Commerce Com-
mission and the State Corpora-
tion Commission was repre-
sented at the hearing by its
Commerce Counsel.

The limestone rates in Vir-
ginia were established in 1911
by the result of a conference
between the Governor of Vir-
ginia, the State Corporation
Commission and representa-
tives of the railroads. The
rates at that time were made
as low as possible to permit
the farmers to secure lime-
stone for their farms.

In 1920 the Interstate Com-
merce Commission ordered a
general 40 per cent increase in
all rates in the United States.
The State Corporation Com-
mission denied the carrier's ap-
plication at that time because of
increasing prices that would
result in the agricultural time
rates.

The Interstate Commerce
Commission in Docket 1929-29
were put on a new basis by the
Interstate Commerce Commis-
sion, and in September, 1928,
the carrier applied to the
State Corporation Commission
for authority to put the new
scale in effect in Virginia. The
State Corporation Commission
denied the carrier's application
at that time because of increas-
ing prices that would result in the agri-
cultural time rates.

The Interstate Commerce
Commission in Docket 1929-29
were put on a new basis by the
Interstate Commerce Commis-
sion, and in September, 1928,
the carrier applied to the
State Corporation Commission
for authority to put the new
scale in effect in Virginia. The
State Corporation Commission
denied the carrier's application
at that time because of increas-
ing prices that would result in the agri-
cultural time rates.

The Interstate Commerce
Commission in Docket 1929-29
were put on a new basis by the
Interstate Commerce Commis-
sion, and in September, 1928,
the carrier applied to the
State Corporation Commission
for authority to put the new
scale in effect in Virginia. The
State Corporation Commission
denied the carrier's application
at that time because of increas-
ing prices that would result in the agri-
cultural time rates.

The Interstate Commerce
Commission in Docket 1929-29
were put on a new basis by the
Interstate Commerce Commis-
sion, and in September, 1928,
the carrier applied to the
State Corporation Commission
for authority to put the new
scale in effect in Virginia. The
State Corporation Commission
denied the carrier's application
at that time because of increas-
ing prices that would result in the agri-
cultural time rates.

The Interstate Commerce
Commission in Docket 1929-29
were put on a new basis by the
Interstate Commerce Commis-
sion, and in September, 1928,
the carrier applied to the
State Corporation Commission
for authority to put the new
scale in effect in Virginia. The
State Corporation Commission
denied the carrier's application
at that time because of increas-
ing prices that would result in the agri-
cultural time rates.

The Interstate Commerce
Commission in Docket 1929-29
were put on a new basis by the
Interstate Commerce Commis-
sion, and in September, 1928,
the carrier applied to the
State Corporation Commission
for authority to put the new
scale in effect in Virginia. The
State Corporation Commission
denied the carrier's application
at that time because of increas-
ing prices that would result in the agri-
cultural time rates.

The Interstate Commerce
Commission in Docket 1929-29
were put on a new basis by the
Interstate Commerce Commis-
sion, and in September, 1928,
the carrier applied to the
State Corporation Commission
for authority to put the new
scale in effect in Virginia. The
State Corporation Commission
denied the carrier's application
at that time because of increas-
ing prices that would result in the agri-
cultural time rates.

The Interstate Commerce
Commission in Docket 1929-29
were put on a new basis by the
Interstate Commerce Commis-
sion, and in September, 1928,
the carrier applied to the
State Corporation Commission
for authority to put the new
scale in effect in Virginia. The
State Corporation Commission
denied the carrier's application
at that time because of increas-
ing prices that would result in the agri-
cultural time rates.

The Interstate Commerce
Commission in Docket 1929-29
were put on a new basis by the
Interstate Commerce Commis-
sion, and in September, 1928,
the carrier applied to the
State Corporation Commission
for authority to put the new
scale in effect in Virginia. The
State Corporation Commission
denied the carrier's application
at that time because of increas-
ing prices that would result in the agri-
cultural time rates.

The Interstate Commerce
Commission in Docket 1929-29
were put on a new basis by the
Interstate Commerce Commis-
sion, and in September, 1928,
the carrier applied to the
State Corporation Commission
for authority to put the new
scale in effect in Virginia. The
State Corporation Commission
denied the carrier's application
at that time because of increas-
ing prices that would result in the agri-
cultural time rates.

The Interstate Commerce
Commission in Docket 1929-29
were put on a new basis by the
Interstate Commerce Commis-
sion, and in September, 1928,
the carrier applied to the
State Corporation Commission
for authority to put the new
scale in effect in Virginia. The
State Corporation Commission
denied the carrier's application
at that time because of increas-
ing prices that would result in the agri-
cultural time rates.

The Interstate Commerce
Commission in Docket 1929-29
were put on a new basis by the
Interstate Commerce Commis-
sion, and in September, 1928,
the carrier applied to the
State Corporation Commission
for authority to put the new
scale in effect in Virginia. The
State Corporation Commission
denied the carrier's application
at that time because of increas-
ing prices that would result in the agri-
cultural time rates.

The Interstate Commerce
Commission in Docket 1929-29
were put on a new basis by the
Interstate Commerce Commis-
sion, and in September, 1928,
the carrier applied to the
State Corporation Commission
for authority to put the new
scale in effect in Virginia. The
State Corporation Commission
denied the carrier's application
at that time because of increas-
ing prices that would result in the agri-
cultural time rates.

Sug No. 1, ordered into effect
in Virginia, under the Inter-
state Commerce Act, the same
scale on lime as applied in the
South generally, and also or-
dered an increase on Virginia
intrastate limestone rates,
which rates were to become ef-
fective September 8, 1928. The
reopening of this case on peti-
tion of the State Corporation
Commission will suspend the
effective date of the new rates
until some time after the Sep-
tember 10th hearing.

At the hearing in Washing-
ton in December, 1928, the
State Corporation Commission
defended the Virginia rates,
claiming that the North Amer-
ican Cement Corporation had
not proven that higher Vir-
ginia rates if it could ship into
Virginia.

After the decision of the
Interstate Commerce Commis-
sion immediately filed a peti-
tion for a rehearing, calling the
Interstate Commerce Commis-
sion's attention to the necessity
of the use of ground lime-
stone to the successful production
of crops in Virginia due to the
acidity of the soil and other
conditions, and to the financial
condition of farmers and the
need of relief which the Inter-
state Commerce Commission
denied. The State Corporation
Commission again presented a
petition for rehearing, which
petition has been granted and
the case reopened.

The limestone rates are of
vital importance to the farmers
and the State Corporation Com-
mission has for that reason at-
tempted to keep the rates on
this important commodity
down to as low a level as pos-
sible.

The State Corporation Com-
mission will again actively ap-
pear before the Interstate
Commerce Commission on Sep-
tember 10th, and defend the
Virginia rates and oppose any
advances, and will attempt to
secure for the Virginia farm-
ers as low a rate as possible on
this needed commodity.—Car-
ter Wormly.

Another big battlefield park
commemorating the War be-
tween the States is being plan-
ned for Virginia. This one is
to be at Appomattox Court
House in the western part of
the state, where on April 9th,
1865, Lee bowed to an over-
whelming force and laid down
his sword.

The plans of this park call
for an area of two thousand
acres, which will encompass
every point on which any event
of historical interest occurred
during the war. It will be
about one half mile wide, fol-
lowing the concrete road now
being built through this sec-
tion. The park will stretch
about a quarter of a mile on
each side of the road, from
which bridge and foot paths
will lead to different sites of
interest. The major points,
such as the site of the house in
which the articles of surrender
were drawn up and signed, the
old apple tree under which Lee
awaited the return of his
courage, the old App